

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/021,867	KOPACZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer A. Boyd	1771	

All Participants:

Status of Application: NF

(1) Jennifer A. Boyd.

(3) _____.

(2) Barbara Clark.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NONE

Claims discussed:
 ALL

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner suggested amendments to the claims to clarify the language regarding projections and tufts. The Applicant accepted the proposed amendments and the application is now in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JAB 10/2/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)